

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/945,297	08/31/2001	Manoel Tenorio	020431.0922	2037
53184 755 i2 TECHNOLOG			EXAMINER CHEUNG, MARY DA ZHI WANG	
ONE i2 PLACE,	11701 LUNA ROAD			
DALLAS, TX 75	234	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	PAPER NUMBER
			3694	
SHORTENED STATUTORY F	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONT	TIC	01/04/2007	DAD	r.p.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/945,297	TENORIO, MANOEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mary Cheung	3694	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		th the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a record will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			٠
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31</u>	LAugust 2001		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	•		
Disposition of Claims	· · ·		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	*		•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ . Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.			•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 August 2001 is/ar	e. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	٠.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.	
		·	
		•	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/28/01.	5) Motice of Ir 6) Other:	formal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. This action is in response to the application filed on August 31, 2001. Claims 1-29 are pending. Claims 1-29 are examined.

Restrictions

- 2. The pending claims can be divided into the following groups:
 - l) Claims 1-9 and 28, disclose a system for locally generating price quotes;
 - II) Claims 10-18, disclose a method for locally generating price quotes;
 - III) Claims 19-27, software for locally generating price quotes;
 - IV) Claim 29, discloses a system for locally generating price quotes comprising two or more buyers buying items as a single buying entity.
- 3. Examiner has determined that groups I, II, III and IV are not patentably distinct; thus, restrictions are not proper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 3, 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claims 3, 11 and 20, the phrase "substantially prevent" is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/945,297 Page 3

Art Unit: 3694

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haji et al., US 2002/0035511 A1 in view of Halperin et al., US 6,105,004.

As to claims 1 and 28, Haji teaches a system comprising one or more computer associated with a buyer for locally generating price quotes, the one or more computers associated with the buyer collectively operable to (¶ 11, 75 and Figs. 1-2):

- a) Receiving a pricing tool from a seller, the price tool operable to generate price quotes for the seller (¶ 70-75 and Figs. 1-2; "seller" corresponds to "Server" as shown in Fig. ¹1 of Haji);
- b) Locally store the pricing tool received from the seller such that the pricing tool is locally accessible to the one or more computers associated with the buyer (¶ 70-75 and Figs. 1-2; "buyer" corresponds to "Receiving terminal" as shown in Fig. 1 of Haji);
- c) Access a request for quote (RFQ) specifying an order, the RFQ requesting a price quote for the order (¶ 74 and Fig. 2);
- d) Communicate the RFQ to the locally accessible pricing tool (¶ 74 and Fig. 2);
- e) Using the locally accessible pricing tool received from the seller, locally generate a price quote for the order (¶ 74 and Fig. 2);

Art Unit: 3694

f) Providing the locally generated price quote for the order for possible further action by the buyer (¶ 74-76 and Fig. 2).

Haji does not specifically teach the specified order comprising <u>quantities</u> of one or more items. However, Halperin teaches pricing an order including calculation of quantities of the ordered items (column 4 lines 1-4 and column 5 lines 37-45 and Figs. 5-7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the specified order in Haji's teaching to include quantities of the ordered items as taught by Halperin so that the buyer can have better idea of the total costs of the order that have multiple purchased items.

As to claim 2, Haji in view of Halperin teaches price tool as discussed in claim 1 above. Haji in view of Halperin does not specifically teach the pricing tool is encrypted to substantially prevent the pricing tool from being used to determine how price quotes are calculated. Examiner takes Office Notice that encrypting information for preventing unauthorized parties from revealing the information is old and well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the pricing tool in the teachings of Haji modified by Halperin to be encrypted for better protecting the information associated with the pricing tool.

As to claim 3, Haji in view of Halperin teaches the one or more computers receiving pricing tool from a seller as discussed in claim 1 above. Haji in view of Halperin does not specifically teach the one or more computers are operable to receive a plurality of pricing tools from a plurality of sellers. Examiner takes Office Notice that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the teachings of Haji

Art Unit: 3694

modified by Halperin to include the feature of the one or more computers are operable to receive a plurality of pricing tools from a plurality of sellers for promoting multiple sellers sell their products.

As to claim 4, Haji in view of Halperin teaches a buyer purchase items from a seller as discussed above. Haji in view of Halperin does not specifically teach a buyer group comprising two or more buyers buying items as a single entity. Examiner takes Office Notice that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the teachings of Haji modified by Halperin to include the feature of a buyer group comprising two or more buyers buying items as a single entity for sharing better price of the purchased items.

As to claim 5, Haji further teaches determining validation of the pricing information received from the buyer by the seller, and the pricing information is valid only if the pricing tool is the latest version; and if the pricing information is invalid or outdated, recalculation is performed based on the updated price tool (¶ 88-91). Haji does not specifically teach the buyer will receive the updated version of the pricing tool. However, since Haji teaches the pricing information is valid only use the latest version of the pricing tool (¶ 89) and the pricing information is calculated in real time (¶ 83), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the buyer to receive the latest version of the pricing tool for accurately calculate the pricing information.

As to claim 6, locally generate a price quote for a modified order is taught by Haji as a price quote is locally generated based on the buyer's various of selections of the order (¶ 74 and Fig. 2).

Art Unit: 3694

As to claim 7, Haji further teaches automatically calculating the price quote locally in real time (¶ 83), and the price quote is valid only use the latest version of the pricing tool (¶ 89). Haji does not specifically teach the modifications to the order are made automatically. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the modification of the order in Haji's teaching to be made automatically for accurately calculating the most recent price.

As to claim 8, iteratively modify the order and locally generate corresponding price quotes is taught by Haji as corresponding price quotes are locally generated based on the buyer's various of selections of the order (¶ 74 and Fig. 2).

As to claim 9, Haji teaches the one or more computers are collectively operable to locally generate the pricing quote independent of the communication with the seller subsequent to receiving the pricing tool from the seller (¶ 75).

Claims 10-27 and 29 are also rejected by Haji in combination with Halperin as discussed in claims 1-9 and 28 because they are not patentably distinct between claims 10-27, 29 and claims 1-19, 28.

Inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Cheung whose telephone number is (571)-272-6705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday from 10:00 AM to 7:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell, can be reached on (571) 272-6712.

Art Unit: 3694

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceedings is assigned are as follows:

(571) 273-8300 (Official Communications; including After Final

Communications labeled "BOX AF")

(571) 273-6705 (Draft Communications)

Mary Cheung December 22, 2006

MARY D. CHEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Manyther